|  |  | Liberal Democrat |  | Conservative |  | Independent |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Members |  | 25 |  | 10 |  | 4 |  | 40 (39) |
| Expressed as \% |  | 64.10\% |  | 25.64\% |  | 10.25\% |  | 100\% |
| Committee | No. of Seats | Entitled Places (exact) | Entitled Places (rounded) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Entitled } \\ & \text { Places (exact) } \end{aligned}$ | Entitled Places (rounded) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Entitled } \\ & \text { Places (exact) } \end{aligned}$ | Entitled Places (rounded) |  |
| Licensing Committee | 15 | 9.62 | 10 | 3.84 | 34 | 1.54 | 21 | 15 |
| Development Committee | 14 | 8.97 | 109 | 3.59 | 3-4 | 1.44 | 1 | 14 |
| Overview \& Scrutiny | 12 | 7.69 | 8 | 3.07 | 3 | 1.23 | 1 | 12 |
| Planning Policy \& Built Heritage WP | 12 | 7.69 | 8 | 3.07 | 3 | 1.23 | 1 | 12 |
| Standards Committee | 7 | 4.49 | 5 | 1.79 | 1 | 0.72 | 1 | 7 |
| Governance, Risk \& Audit Committee | 6 | 3.85 | 4 | 1.54 | 1 | 0.62 | 1 | 6 |
| EAC | 5 | 3.2 | 3 | 1.15 | 1 | 0.51 | 1 | 5 |
| *NN Sustainability Fund Grants Panel | 7 | 4.49 | 54 | 1.79 | 12 | 0.72 | 1 | 7 |
| *Member Development Group | 6 | 3.85 | 4 | 1.38 | 1 | 0.62 | 1 | 6 |
| Constitution Working Party | 5 | 3.2 | 3 | 1.15 | 2 | 0.5 | 0 | 5 |
| *Joint Staff Consultative Committee | 5 | 3.2 | 3 | 1.15 | 2 | 0.5 | 0 | 5 |
| * Council Tax Support Working Party | 5 | 3.2 | 3 | 1.15 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 5 |
| TOTAL | 99 | 63.45 | 64 | 24.67 | 25 | 10.13 | 10 | 99 |

*Please note that all of the committees marked with an asterisk * are Cabinet sub-committees and therefore they are not required to be politically balanced - however, it is the practice at NNDC that they are politically balanced.

Notes: At the May AGM, the Conservative Group agreed to allocate a seat on JSCC to the Independent Group, losing one of their seats and increasing the Independent Group allocation to 11 and reducing the Conservative allocation to 22 . The Group Leaders have agreed to revert to the original allocation - with the Conservative Group having two seats on this committee.

At the AGM, the Independent Group indicated that they would not be taking up their seat on the EAC. If members are supportive of the change in the terms of reference of the EAC, then it will need to be politically balanced and a representative of the Independent Group will be required to sit on this Committee.

